Circular No. 1/2016: Updated Guidelines on the Assessment of Patent Post-Grant Amendments

Updated Guidelines on the Assessment of Patent Post-Grant Amendments

[Circular No. 1/2016, with effect from 30 June 2016]

In the decision of *Ship's Equipment Centre Bremen GmbH v Fuji Trading (Singapore)* Pty Ltd & Ors [2015] SGHC 159 and more recently in Warner-Lambert Company LLC v Novartis (Singapore) Pte Ltd [2016] SGHC 106, the Singapore High Court affirmed the guidelines for patent post-grant amendments set down in Smith Kline and French Laboratories limited v Evans Medical Limited [1989] FSR 561.

- Patents Act. In addition to the requirements under Section 84 of the Singapore Patents will also be assessed based on the following criteria:
 - (1) Whether relevant matters are sufficiently disclosed;
 - (2) Whether there was any unreasonable delay in seeking amendments; and
 - (3) Whether the patentee has gained an unfair advantage obtained by delaying amendments which are known to be needed.
- 3 To facilitate the assessment, the application for post-grant amendment should set out fully the reason(s) for amendments, including the circumstances leading to the amendments and any evidence in support thereof.
- The additional criteria above will apply to all pending and new requests for postgrant amendments. An updated version of IPOS' patent examination guidelines will be released at a later date.
- 5 If you have any enquiries, please contact Ms Tay Shu Wen (tay_shu_wen@ipos.gov.sg).